Grammar patterns as an exploratory tool for studying formulaicity in English-to-Polish translation: a corpus-based study

Abstract. In this presentation, we explore the use – and argue for the usefulness – of the concept of grammar patterns (Francis et al 19966, 1998; Hunston & Francis 1999) in descriptive research on the English-to-Polish translation of formulaic language. Specifically, we use the *Paralela* English-Polish parallel corpus (Pezik 2016) to explore – largely in terms of frequency distributions – the use of the Polish equivalents of selected English multi-word items, which are textual manifestations of grammar patterns. As a proof-of-concept, we will focus on a pre-selected grammar pattern ('it v-link ADJ to-inf'), where a given word (e.g. the adjective possible) may convey different senses depending on the pattern in which it occurs (Groom 2005). We aim to check whether and to what extent somewhat similar lexicogrammatical patterns emerge from the Polish language data (i.e. from the Polish translations under scrutiny). Using this method, we are also able to investigate whether the Polish equivalents of that English grammar pattern (i.e. its textual manifestations) are realized with the same level of regularity in translation. Early findings revealed that the English pattern 'it v-link ADJ to-inf', when filled by adjectives conveying the sense of 'difficulty', corresponds to a set of Polish syntagmatic patterns, such as 'ADJ v-link, aby', 'ADV v-link' or 'ADV' (the last two ones followed by verbs in the infinitive form), which contribute to the formulaicity of Polish texts. The study's findings indicate that grammar patterns are a useful starting point for the exploration of formulaicity in translation, and they also help us explain some more general differences in terms of semantics, pragmatics and usage in source texts and their translations.

References

Charles, M. (2006). 'Phraseological patterns in reporting clauses used in citation: A corpus-based study of theses in two disciplines.' English for Specific Purposes 25: 310–331.

Charles, M. (2007). 'Argument or evidence? Disciplinary variation in the use of the Noun that pattern in stance construction.' English for Specific Purposes 26: 203–218.

Ellis, N.C., O'Donnell, M.B. & Römer, U. (2014). 'Second language processing of verb-argument constructions is sensitive to form, function, frequency, contingency, and prototypicality.' Linguistic Approaches to Bilingualism 4(4): 405-431.

Francis, G., Manning, E. & Hunston, S. (1996). Collins COBUILD grammar patterns 1: Verbs. London: HarperCollins.

Francis, G., Manning, E. & Hunston, S. (1998). Collins COBUILD grammar patterns 2: Nouns and adjectives. London: HarperCollins.

Goldberg, A. (2006). Constructions at Work. The Nature of Generalization in Language. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Groom, N. (2005). "Pattern and meaning across genres and disciplines: An exploratory study." A Journal of English for Academic Purposes 4: 257-277.

Hanks, P. (2008a). "Mapping meaning onto use: a Pattern Dictionary of English Verbs". AACL 2008, Utah.

Herbst, T., Heath, D., Götz, D., & Roe, I. (2004). A Valency Dictionary of English. Berlin/New York: De Gruyter.

Hunston, S. & Francis, G. (2000). Pattern Grammar: A Corpus-driven Approach to the Lexical Grammar of English. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Römer, U., O'Donnell, M.B., & Ellis, N.C. (2015). 'Using COBUILD grammar patterns for a large-scale analysis of verb-argument constructions: Exploring corpus data and speaker knowledge.' In N. Groom, M. Charles & S. John (eds.). Corpora, Grammar and Discourse: In Honour of Susan Hunston. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 43-71.

Willim, E. & Mańczak-Wohlfeld, E. (1997). A contrastive approach to problems with English. Warszawa: PWN.